Evaluation of the Effect of Silver Nanoparticles on Induction of Neutralizing Antibodies against Inactivated Rabies Virus
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Abstract:
Introduction: Nanoparticles have been considered as promising tools because of their high applicability. Recently, nanoparticles have been evaluated for their ability to increase the immune responses as adjuvants. Silver-nanoparticles (AgNPs) have shown promising results in enhancing Th-2 immune responses and to produce potent neutralizing antibodies. Neutralizing antibodies are considered as the main defense mechanism in pre- and post-exposure treatments of rabies disease. Therefore in this study, the effects of AgNPs in enhancing the immunogenicity of inactivated rabies virus were assessed. Methods: Different concentrations of AgNPs (0.2, 0.4, 0.6 and 0.8 mg/ml) were added to inactivated rabies virus. Mice were immunized by two intra-peritoneal injections of each concentration on days 1 and 7. The inactivated virus and Alum were used as negative and positive controls, respectively. Blood was collected from healthy and immunized mice, one week after the last immunization. Serum was isolated from each sample and the amounts of neutralizing antibodies were determined by Rapid Florence Focus Inhibition Test (RFFIT). The cytotoxicity of AgNPs was also assessed by in vitro MTT assay on J774A.1 cell line. Results: The results showed that 0.4, 0.6 and 0.8 mg/ml of AgNPs had significantly increased the immune responses compared to the control; however, 0.2 mg/ml of AgNPs did not show a significant effect. No cytotoxicity was observed for 0.001 and 0.01 mg/ml concentrations of AgNPs but cell viability was decreased significantly at 0.1 mg/ml concentration. Conclusion: It was shown that the virus-loaded AgNPs at 0.4 mg/ml concentration could raise the neutralizing antibodies against rabies virus in mice, but their adverse effect on cell viability excludes their use as an adjuvant. Vac Res, 2014, 1 (1): 31-34
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INTRODUCTION

Vaccination is one of the most effective approaches in managing healthcare costs in all countries. Unfortunately, the vaccines do not usually show good immunogenic properties similar to native microorganisms because of either the chemical modifications or not using whole live microorganisms [1]. In many cases, adjuvants are employed to evoke more powerful immune responses. An optimally-formulated adjuvant must be safe, stable before administration, readily biodegradable, able to promote an antigen-specific immune response, inexpensive to produce and easy to use [2]. Until recently however, only one type of adjuvant, aluminum salts (e.g. Alum) has been in use for over 50 years. As a result, many limitations are associated with this type of adjuvant. In particular, it is not suitable for individuals with metal allergies and has been shown to cause local reactions at injection sites. Therefore, a new generation of safe and effective adjuvants is highly desired.
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Alum), has been widely used with licensed human vaccines [3], even though a variety of novel adjuvants have been evaluated in the past few decades, such as oligonucleotides and various emulsions [4]. The adjuvants enhance the immunogenicity through sustained release of an antigen at injection sites and stimulation of the innate immunity [5, 6]. Due to the limited adjuvant effect of the aluminum salts, constant mutation of existing microbes and identification of new disease-causing microorganisms, the search for more effective adjuvants is continuing [7, 8].

Nanoparticles have been considered as promising tools because of their high applicability. Recently, nanoparticles are evaluated for their ability to increase the immune responses as adjuvants. The dimensions of artificial nanoparticles can be controlled from nanometer to micrometer scales which improve the flexibility and quality of the newly-developed vaccines.

The size of the nanoparticles can be easily changed to imitate the virus particles, hence confirming their usefulness in vaccine designs.

Employment of nanoparticles with entrapped or adsorbed biomolecules like nucleic acids or proteins represents a promising approach for controlled delivery of the antigens and optimizing the preferred immune responses by means of selective targeting of the antigen presenting cells (APCs). The targeted delivery of the antigens to APCs, especially Dendritic Cells (DCs) and the stimulation of APCs are the major issues in the development and improvement of potent vaccines. Moreover, the nanoparticle-based vaccine systems create opportunities to control the delivery of the antigens to special immune system cells in order to invoke more potent immune responses [9].

Recently, the silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) have been evaluated for their effect on the immune system. Two protein models were investigated and the primary results have shown increased humoral immune responses leading to the rise of neutralizing antibodies. Surprisingly, this effect by AgNPs was comparable to the effects caused by a commercially available adjuvant (i.e. Alum) when administrated subcutaneously in one protein model. Furthermore, no toxicity was observed in the used range of concentrations of AgNPs as an adjuvant which could be considered as a promising result in the adjuvant area [10]. Rabies is a progressive encephalitis disease which finally leads to death. Pre- and post-exposure treatment schedules are the only effective approach for the control of the disease, resulting in the increase of neutralizing antibodies against rabies virus. Vaccination plays a key role in prevention of the disease outbreaks and more potent vaccines are required for this purpose [11]. Therefore in the current study, the ability of AgNPs to evoke immune responses against rabies virus was investigated and the results were compared to Alum.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Loading of inactivated rabies virus on AgNPs.** One-half ml of inactivated virus (Pasteur Institute of Iran, Lot Number: 92-2) was added to AgNPs (Sigma-Aldrich, USA, size <100 nm) at different concentrations (0.2, 0.4, 0.6 and 0.8 mg/ml equal to 5, 10, 15 and 20 mg/kg) and the mixture was incubated overnight at 4°C with gentle stirring. Four groups of six female NMRI mice (average weight ~20 g, Pasteur Institute of Iran) were used for in vivo test. In addition, Rabies vaccine (containing Alum, Pasteur Institute of Iran) and the inactivated virus (without Alum, Pasteur Institute of Iran) were used as positive and negative controls, respectively.

Mice were injected intra-peritoneally (i.p.) twice with 0.5 ml of each vaccine on days 1 and 7 and their blood samples were collected one week later (day 14). The sera were subsequently isolated by centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 10 min after 1 h. incubation at RT and were stored at -20°C for further analysis.

**In vitro toxicity of AgNPs.** J774A.1 cell line (ATCC TIB-67) were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10 % FBS and 1X Pen-Strep (Invitrogen, USA) at 37°C and 5 % CO2. For MTT assay, 20,000 cells/well were cultured in a 96-well plate (Nunc, USA) and incubated overnight at 37°C and 5 % CO2. Different concentrations of AgNPs (10-3, 10-2, 10-1 and 1 mg/ml) were added to each well as triplicates and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. One-hundred µl (0.5 mg/ml) of MTT (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) was then added to each well and incubated for 4 h at 37°C. The supernatants were removed and 100 µl DMSO (Sigma, USA) was added to each well and the reaction read at 570 and 630 nm. Data was analyzed by SPSS version 16.0 using independent t-test.

**Determination of neutralizing antibody titers by RF-FIT.** The isolated sera were inactivated by incubation at 56°C for 30 min and three-fold serial dilutions of reference (WHO Reference) and sample sera were prepared in MEM medium in triplicates. Subsequently, 50 µl of live rabies virus (CVS-11 strain, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Iran) sufficient to infect 80% of cells in each well was added to each well and incubated at 37°C for 1 h MEM instead of CVS and PBS instead of serum were used as negative and positive controls, respectively. Fifty µl of BSR cell suspension in MEM supplemented with 10% FBS (5×104 cells/well) was added to each well and incubated overnight at 37°C and 5% CO2. The plates were rinsed three times with PBS and fixed using 80% cold acetone for 30 min at 4°C. Finally, the plates were stained with 50 µl FITC-conjugated anti-nucleocapsid polyclonal antibody (Bio-Rad, USA) and the percentage of the infection was determined by fluorescent microscopy. The neutralizing antibody titers were calculated using Reed and Muench method [12].

**RESULTS**

**Determination of neutralizing antibody titers by RF-FIT.** Statistical analysis showed that 0.4, 0.6 and 0.8 mg/ml of AgNPs had a significant effect on the immune response, as measured by the level of neutralizing antibodies compared to the inactivated virus (without adjuvant; Fig.1) while 0.2 mg/ml of AgNPs did not show a significance effect ($p$ value > 0.05).
In groups receiving AgNPs, no significant difference was observed between 0.6 and 0.8 mg/ml of AgNPs whereas injection with 0.4 mg/ml AgNPs caused a significant difference. Although the positive control group (Alum) had the highest level of neutralizing antibody (Fig. 1), the statistical analysis did not show any significant differences regarding the 0.6 and 0.8 mg/ml groups.

In vitro toxicity of AgNPs. The toxicity of AgNPs was determined by MTT assay and the results demonstrated no toxicity with 0.001 and 0.01 mg/ml AgNPs used as an adjuvant, compared to the control. However, the cell viability was decreased significantly when 0.1 (*** $p < 0.001$) and 1 mg/ml (*** $p < 0.001$) concentrations of AgNPs were used, as shown in Fig. 2.

**Fig. 1.** Determination of neutralizing antibodies in immunized mice injected with different amount of AgNPs, as adjuvant.
Alum and inactivated virus were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. All data were presented as Mean ± SD and significant levels were assumed as follow; * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$ and *** $p < 0.001$

**Fig. 2.** In vitro toxicity of AgNPs on J774A.1 cell line
DMEM was used as positive control. All data presented as Mean ± SD (Ni=6) and significant levels were assumed as follow; * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$ and *** $p < 0.001$.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present investigation, we assessed the adjuvant effect of AgNPs on the rabies vaccine and compared the results to a commercially-available rabies vaccine. The composition of AgNPs has previously been shown by Xu et al. [10] to be only consisted of silver and oxygen atoms which confirms that the adjuvant effect observed in our study was only due to AgNPs [10]. The mean size of AgNPs in water has been reported to be 141 nm with a negative charge of -30.6 mV, as determined by Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) method. Although the toxicity of silver ions has been proven by in vitro and in vivo tests, no toxicity has been reported for AgNPs, due to the fact that the release of silver ions from AgNPs is shown to be negligible [10].

In the current study, the adjuvanticity effect of AgNPs was confirmed, as it has been previously shown by Xu and colleagues that the highest response could be obtained with ≥0.4 mg/ml (10 mg/kg) AgNPs via i.p. injection. This result was similar to the same report by Xu’s team regarding their two protein models, namely, Ovalbumin (OVA) and Bovine serum Albumin (BSA) when compared to PBS [10].

It must be noted that the subcutaneous immunization showed better effect because many studies prove that the route of administration plays an important role in stimulation of the immune system [14].

The adjuvanticity of AgNPs was increased with increasing of its concentration and finally reached a plateau which might be the reason for the similarity of the results obtained for the 15 and 20 mg/kg concentrations; however
at these concentrations, the adjuvanticity effect is comparable with Alum. This phenomenon was observed at lower concentrations for both protein models in Xu’s study (2 mg/kg and 10 mg/kg for BSA and OVA, respectively) which can be explained by the type of the antigen used. Although they reported that the adjuvanticity effect of AgNPs is not dependent on the type of the antigen, it must be noted that they used similar antigens and this may explain the same results obtained for two different proteins whereas the antigen used in the current investigation (i.e. inactivated rabies virus), was a complex antigen [10]. The other reasons may be related to the protocol of the immunization and the type of mice used. We immunized the mice twice with the antigen and the adjuvant whilst they used the adjuvant only at their first administration. Furthermore, it has been reported that BALB/c mice which they used in their study have more potent humoral immune responses [15]. It is noteworthy that our antigen alone was more immunogenic than BSA or OVA proteins and increasing the immune responses by the adjuvant is undoubtedly more difficult.

The mechanism of AgNPs adjuvanticity is not clearly known but investigations suggest that they act through several mechanisms such as cytokines release, recruitment of leukocytes and up-regulation of major histocompatibility class II (MHC-II) molecules on peritoneal macrophages [10]. In addition, it has been reported that AgNPs like Alum can stimulate TH2 helper-II dependent response leading to the antibody responses. It has also been reported that AgNPs tend to be aggregated in water and this may be an additional mechanism by which they can entrap the antigen and allow sustained delivery of the antigen to the immune system microenvironment [10]. In conclusion, in this study we showed the adjuvanticity effect of AgNPs on rabies neutralizing antibodies.

These results require further assessment using different routes of injections as well as different animal models. Furthermore, the AgNPs adjuvanticity should be tested with a wide range of antigens and its mechanism of action needs to be clarified. Our results were consistent with other studies which clearly showed the effect of AgNPs in increasing the humoral response to the rabies vaccine. However, until further improvements to overcome their adverse effect on cell viability at effective concentrations are not achieved, the use of AgNPs as an adjuvant is not recommended.
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