Preparation and in vivo anti-tumor evaluation of human papillomavirus E7 adjuvanted with Montanide ISA 266 as a vaccine candidate
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Human papillomavirus (HPV) 16 E7 protein is expressed constitutively by HPV-infected tumor cells. Mutant versions of E7 are considered as safer candidates for immunotherapy of cervical cancer. Different strategies including formulation with adjuvants are used to induce a potent immune response against antigenic proteins. Methods: In this experimental study, we used Escherichia coli as a host to recombinantly express wild-type E7 and its mutant non-oncogenic form as E7GGG. We formulated both antigens with Montanide ISA 266 adjuvant and evaluated IFN-γ and IL-4 cytokines and antibody levels and also tumor regression in tumor-harboring C57BL/6 mice. Results: It was demonstrated that formulation of E7 and E7GGG antigens with Montanide ISA 266 resulted in a Th2-biased immune response. In the therapeutic mouse model, these formulations resulted in significant tumor regression compared to the control group. Conclusion: The formulation of the wild-type E7 and mutant E7GGG with Montanide ISA 266 might not be an optimal approach to regress TC-1 induced tumor; however, such combinations might be considered as an additive approach for stimulating the immune responses.
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INTRODUCTION

Cervical cancer is the second most common cancer amongst women worldwide. Persistent infection with human papillomavirus (HPV) is the main cause of cervical cancer; thus, prophylaxis and treatment of HPV infection is in high demand. Among approximately 15 different types of the cancer-causing HPV, 50% of them are caused by genotype 16 [1]. Existing licensed vaccines against HPV which are against L1 protein (late expressed major capsid protein) can effectively protect women from precursor lesions of cervical carcinoma caused by the most prevalent high-risk genotypes of HPV and most genital warts [1]. However, the therapeutic effects of these vaccines on the pre-existing HPV infection or pre-malignant lesions have not been demonstrated [2]. Therefore, there is an urgent need for developing new therapeutic vaccines against HPV.

In order to design an anti-HPV therapeutic vaccine, it is necessary to choose the proper target antigens with utmost care. It has previously been shown that early expressed proteins of HPV such as E6 and E7 are potential target candidates since they are viral proteins which are expressed constitutively by HPV-infected tumor cells and are also necessary for induction and maintenance of cellular transformation in the infected cells [3]. Different strategies have been developed to generate therapeutic vaccines against HPV-infected cells and these approaches are reviewed elsewhere [3-5]. Briefly, these approaches include using DNA [6], proteins [7, 8], peptides [9], live vectors [10, 11], and dendritic cells [12]. In patients infected with HPV type 16, cell-mediated immunity against E7 protein has been detected. Since E7 is one of the oncogenic proteins of the virus, its mutant forms have been developed that have reduced carcinogenicity. One form of non-carcinogen E7 has point mutations in its amino acid residues 21, 24 and 26 [13].

Protein-based vaccines are relatively safe and easy-to-produce candidates for developing a therapeutic vaccine. However, they need to be formulated by an adjuvant due to their deficiency in inducing a potent immune response [14]. Different forms of HPV oncogenic proteins have been used, formulated with different adjuvants such as QuilA [15], carrageenan, and CpG [16]. Montanide ISA (Seppic, France) is a group of ready-to-use oil adjuvants that can be used for formulating different types of parenteral emulsions with immunostimulatory effects [17]. Montanide ISA 266 consists of a mixture of mineral oil and 50% metabolizable oil, as well as a highly refined surfactant obtained from mannitol and purified oleic acid [18]. In the present study, we expressed HPV16 wild-type E7 protein and a mutant non-oncogenic E7 (E7GGG) protein in a bacterial host and evaluated their effects along with Montanide ISA-266.
MATERIALS and METHODS

Expression and purification of HPV16 E7 and E7GGG proteins

HPV16 E7 coding sequence and the optimized E7GGG sequence were kindly provided by Dr. Azam Bolhasani and Dr. Mehdi Mahdavi (Pasteur Institute of Iran), respectively. The sequences were subcloned into pET-28a (+) vector (Novagen, USA) and transformed into Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3) host after being confirmed by PCR and restriction enzyme digestion. The protein expression was induced by adding 0.5 mM IPTG at OD₆₀₀ of 0.6-0.8 at 37°C for 4 h. The expression was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting using His-probe Antibody (H-3) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, USA). The expressed proteins were purified by His-tag using Ni/NTA column under denaturing condition. Finally, the purified proteins were dialyzed against phosphate-buffer saline (PBS; dialysis membrane 12 kDa cut-off) and stored at -70°C.

The concentrations of the proteins were determined by Bradford assay [19].

Antigen formulation and mice immunization

The antigens were prepared by mixing 50 μg purified protein with 20 μg Montanide ISA 266. The mixture was dissolved in PBS at the final volume of 200 μl under the sterile conditions.

Six to eight-week-old female C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Pasteur Institute of Iran (Karaj, Iran). The mice were housed for 1 week before the experiments, given free access to food and water. The mice were kept according to the animal ethics regulations of Pasteur Institute of Iran. In order to generate tumor tissue in the mice groups, one mouse was injected subcutaneously by TC-1 cell line which results in tumor model expression of HPV type 16 E6 and E7 proteins. After 1 month of tumor growth, the mouse was euthanized and the tumor was removed and cut into 2 mm³ fragments and each mouse of the test and the control groups received a fragment, subcutaneously. Test mice (n= 9) received either E7+Montanide 266 or E7GGG+Montanide 266 and the control mice received PBS. Mice were injected subcutaneously on days 0, 14, and 28. Two weeks after the final injection, orbital sinus blood samples were collected. The mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation and the spleens were removed for further analyses.

Cytokine assays

Two weeks after the third immunization, a total number of 3 × 10⁶ spleen cells/well were seeded in 24-well plate in RPMI 1640 and stimulated in vitro by adding 10 μg/ml of the protein antigen and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂, for 3 days. Supernatants were removed thereafter and the concentrations of murine IFN-γ and IL-4 cytokines were assessed by ELISA kits (Mabtech, Sweden) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The concentration of the cytokines in each sample was calculated according to the standard curve and the absolute cytokine concentration for each mouse was determined.

Antibody isotyping

Specific antibodies were determined by an optimized indirect ELISA. Briefly, 100 μl of 10 μg/ml of the antigen in PBS was added into 96-well ELISA Maxisorp plates (Nunc, USA) and incubated overnight at 4°C. The wells were washed with PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 (PBS-T) and blocked for 1 h at 37°C with 5% skimmed milk in PBS (blocking buffer). The plates were washed with PBS-T and 100 μl of 1:100 to 1:12800 diluted sera were added to each well and incubated at 37°C for 90 min. The wells were washed with PBS-T and incubated for 90 min with HRP-conjugated anti-mouse Ab (Sigma, USA). The wells were then washed and incubated for 30 min with TMB substrate in the dark and the reaction was stopped with 2 N H₂SO₄ and color density was measured at OD₄₅₀ nm. Detection of specific IgG1 and IgG2a subclasses were carried out using goat anti-mouse IgG1 and IgG2a secondary antibodies (Sigma, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instruction.

Tumor growth inhibition assay

As a therapeutic tumor model, the mice were implanted with the tumor and received antigen formulations or PBS three days after the implantation. The tumor size was measured every 3 days. The tumor diameters were measured in two orthogonal dimensions using electronic digital calipers. Tumor volumes were calculated as (length×width²)/2.

Statistical analysis

GraphPad Prism 7.0 (GraphPad Inc. USA) was used for statistical analysis. One-way ANOVA was performed to determine the differences of the immune responses among the various immunization groups. The statistical significance in tumor volumes was analyzed using Mann–Whitney U test. The data were expressed as means ± SEM. Differences were considered statistically significant for p < 0.05.

RESULTS

Proteins expression and characterization

The recombinant HPV16 E7 and E7GGG proteins were expressed in BL21 (DE3) strain and purified on Ni/NTA column. Sharp bands for E7 and E7GGG (19 and 17 kDa, respectively) were observed on 12% acrylamide gel. The results were confirmed by Western blotting using anti-His Ab (Fig. 1).
Secretion of IFN-γ and IL-4 cytokines
To determine the secretion levels of the cytokine after immunization of the tumor-bearing mice, IFN-γ and IL-4 cytokines were measured from the isolated spleen cells. The results showed that mice immunized with E7+Montanide had slightly lower IFN-γ (Fig. 2A) and higher IL-4 (Fig. 2B), compared with the mice immunized with E7GGG+Montanide. There were significant differences between the test and the control groups ($p < 0.05$).

Antibody Response
Total IgG was evaluated by an indirect ELISA. In general, our results showed that Montanide 266 induced slightly more antibody response when combined with E7GGG compared to E7 protein (Fig. 3A). Evaluation of antibody isotyping test showed that there was no significant difference between E7 and E7GGG proteins formulated with Montanide 266, although there is slight increase in IgG2a level in the E7GGG injected mice (Fig. 3B).

Fig. 2. Cytokines secretion analysis. A) IFN-γ secretion by the isolated and cultured spleen cells in E7 and E7GGG immunized mice formulated in Montanide 266. B) IL-4 secretion by the isolated spleen cells in E7 and E7GGG immunized mice formulated in Montanide 266. [NS = no significant difference; Mon = Montanide 266].

Fig. 3. Total and isotype antibody response. A) Total IgG titers in mice serum immunized either with E7 or E7GGG with Montanide 266. B) IgG1 and IgG2a levels in the immunized mice. [NS = no significant difference; Mon = Montanide 266]
**Tumor regression assay**

Mice were implanted with TC-1 tumor cells. The tumor size was measured before immunization up to 24 days after the immunization. The results showed that mice injected with either E7 or E7GGG formulated with Montanide 266 could significantly reduce their tumor growth as compared to control group (Fig. 4) \( (p < 0.05) \).

**Fig. 4.** Tumor growth in the immunized and the control groups. Tumor size was measured for 3 weeks after the immunization with either E7+Mon or E7GGG+Mon [Mon = Montanide 266].

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we evaluated the immunogenicities of HPV-16 E7 and E7GGG proteins combined with Montanide ISA 266 as an adjuvant. Our results showed that these combinations induced Th2-skewed immunologic responses. Vaccination with exogenous whole proteins mainly induces Th2-related responses while E7 protein has been reported to evoke CD8 T cell responses when formulated with QuilA or CpG oligonucleotides [20, 21]. Between E6 and E7 oncogenic proteins, E7 has been previously reported to elicit stronger immunological protection compared with E6 protein [22]. Moreover, mutations in E7 reduce or completely abolish the transforming ability of the protein [23]. The mutated form of E7 protein used in this study was based on a previously published variant has been previously shown to induce effective antitumor activity [32, 33]. In the present study, both E7 and E7GGG proteins formulated with Montanide ISA 266 elicited moderately effective immune response against TC-1 induced tumors. In this regard, E7GGG which is considered as a safer alternative, might be a better vaccine candidate to be applied for further studies.
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